WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'bleMrs.UrmitaDatta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'bleMr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No <u>- OA-625 of 2016.</u>

	Bachchu Das Vs The State of West Bengal & Others.	T
Serial No. and Date of order.1	Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
16	For the Applicant : Mr. G. Dinda, Advocate.	
28-02-2020	For the State Respondents: Mr. A. De, Mrs. R. Sarkar, Departmental Representatives.	
	The instant application has been filed	
	mainly challenging the order dated 25-01-2016	
	passed by the Joint Secretary, Govt. of West	
	Bengal, Land and Land Reforms Department.	
	As per the applicant, his father died on 31-01-	
	2008, when he was minor and subsequently	
	after getting majority, he applied for	
	compassionate appointment on 20-12-2010.	
	However his case was ultimately rejected by the	
	highest authority vide order dated 25-01-	
	2016/16-02-2016 on the following grounds :-	
	i) The applicant, Shri Bachchu Das was	
	under age (15 years 10 months 9	
	days of age) on the date of death of	
	his father.	

Tarra Na	
Form No.	

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Others.

Bachchu Das.

Case No. <u>OA-625 of</u>	<u> 2016</u>	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunalwith signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	ii) He submitted application after 2	
	years of his father's death i.e. after	
	expiry of admissible period of	
	application.	
	As per the applicant since his mother was	
	illiterate and he was minor, he could not	
	approach the authority. However the learned	
	authority had recommended his name and he	
	should be granted appointment.	
	During the course of the hearing, the	
	Counsel for the applicant has also referred the	
	case of Syed Khadim Hussain Vs. State of Bihar	
	&Ors. reported in 2006 (9) SCC 195 and has	
	prayed for extension of the benefit of the said	
	judgement.	
	We have heard the parties and perused	
	the records. As per settled law as well as per	
	decision of Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of	
	Umesh Kumar Nagpal Vs. State of Haryana	
	reported in 1994 (4) SCC 138, wherein it has	
	been held that the compassionate appointment	
	is not a matter of right. The whole object of	
	I	

Form No. Bachchu Das.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No.OA-625 of 2016

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	granting compassionate appointment is to	
	enable the family to overcome the sudden	
	financial crisis caused due to the sudden	
	demise of sole bread earner. However, mere	
	death of a family member in harness does not	
	entitle his family to such source of livelihood	
	and such extension of compassionate	
	appointment have necessarily to be made as	
	per the Rules or by executive instructions	
	issued by the government concerned. However,	
	the said cannot be granted after lapse of a	
	reasonable period of time. In the instant case,	
	admittedly the applicant was minor and as per	
	the claim of the department concerned,	
	compassionate appointment cannot be	
	considered neither for a minor dependent nor	
	the department can wait for long time for such	
	appointment. If the family is facing acute	
	financial crisis than any of the other family	
	member could have approached for	
	compassionate appointment.	
	In the instant case, since the deceased	
	family can wait for more than eight years for	
	compassionate appointment, that shows that	

Form No. Bachchu Das.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No.OA-625 of 2016

Case No. OA-625 of	<u>2016</u>	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunalwith signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	they do not need of any immediate financial	
	assistance from the department for	
	compassionate appointment.	
	The case of Syed Khadim Hussain is	
	also distinguishable as in the said case there is	
	no bar to entertain the case of minor after a	
	long time or after attaining the majority,	
	however, in the scheme of the State Govt. for	
	compassionate appointment, there is a specific	
	bar to wait for minor.	
	However the departmental	
	representative of the respondent has submitted	
	as per the scheme of the Govt. of West Bengal,	
	about a minor cannot be granted	
	compassionate appointment nor the	
	department can wait for attaining majority for	
	minor. Further the applicant has also made	
	representation after 2(two) years from the death	
	of his father. Therefore as per the scheme of the	
	Labour Department the respondents have	
	rightly rejected the case of the applicant.	
	We have hearing both the parties and	

	Bachchu Das.
Form No.	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No.OA-625 of 2016

Case No. OA-625 of	<u> 2016</u>	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunalwith signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order.	2	of parties when necessary
1		3
	perused the records. It is noted that admittedly	
	the applicant was minor at the time of death of	
	his father and made representation after 2(two)	
	years. It is further noted that the applicant has	
	earlier came to this Tribunal whereby the	
	Tribunal directed the authority to take final	
	decision and no further direction for	
	compassionate appointment.	
	In view of the above, we do not find any	
	reasons to interfere with the decision of the	
	respondents. Accordingly, OA is dismissed	
	being devoid of merit.	
	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN)	
Mihir	MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	
TVIIIII		